

THE POLYNOMIALS ASSOCIATED WITH A JULIA SET

W. SCHMIDT and N. STEINMETZ

Universität Dortmund
Institut für Mathematik
D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
e-mail stein@borel.mathematik.uni-dortmund.de

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES: Iteration of polynomials, Julia set, Böttcher's function.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 30D05.

ABSTRACT

We prove that, with two exceptions, the set of polynomials with JULIA set \mathcal{J} has the form $\{\sigma p^n : n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$, where p is one of these polynomials and Σ is the symmetry group of \mathcal{J} . The exceptions occur when \mathcal{J} is a circle or a straight line segment.

Several papers [1, 2, 3, 5] have appeared dealing with the relation between polynomials having the same JULIA set \mathcal{J} (for notation the reader is referred to [8]). This relation is very simple and by no means surprising:

THEOREM *To any JULIA set (of a polynomial) \mathcal{J} , which is not a circle or a straight line segment, there exists a polynomial p such that any polynomial with JULIA set \mathcal{J} can be written in the form σp^n , where σ is a rotation mapping \mathcal{J} onto itself, and n is a positive integer.*

PROOF: We denote by $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathcal{J})$ the symmetry group of \mathcal{J} , i.e. the group of Möbius transformations mapping \mathcal{J} onto itself. There exists a polynomial p of lowest degree associated with \mathcal{J} . Without loss of generality we may assume that p is given by

$$p(z) = z^d + a_{d-2}z^{d-2} + \cdots + a_0,$$

since otherwise we could consider the JULIA set $M(\mathcal{J})$, the conjugate group $M\Sigma M^{-1}$ and the conjugate polynomial $M \circ p \circ M^{-1}$, where $M(z) = \alpha z + \beta$ is a suitably chosen Möbius transformation. BAKER and EREMENKO [1] have shown that p may be written as

$$p(z) = z^\mu p_0(z^m),$$

where m is the order of the symmetry group of \mathcal{J} , namely

$$\Sigma = \{z \mapsto \delta z : \delta^m = 1\},$$

and p_0 is a polynomial. In a similar way, any polynomial q associated with \mathcal{J} can be written in this form, say $q(z) = z^\nu q_0(z^m)$. It is easily seen that $p \circ q = \delta q \circ p$ holds, and also that the polynomials

$$\hat{p}(z) = z^\mu (p_0(z))^m \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{q}(z) = z^\nu (q_0(z))^m$$

commute; this can also be found in [1]. According to results due to JULIA [6], FATOU [4] and RITT [7] we have to consider three different cases:

(a) $\hat{p}(z) = z^d$ is a monomial. Then p is a monomial, too, and the JULIA set is the unit circle.

(b) \hat{p} is conjugate to some polynomial T , such that T or $-T$ is a TCHEBYCHEV polynomial for the interval $[-2, 2]$. Then from

$$T(\alpha z + \beta) = \alpha z^\mu (p_0(z))^m + \beta$$

and the fact, that T' has only simple zeros, it follows that $m \leq 2$, and hence $m = 2$. Also we have $\beta = \pm 2$, since only the values ± 2 are critical for T , and so

$$T(\alpha z^2 \pm 2) = \alpha (p(z))^2 \pm 2$$

holds. We consider the respective conjugates

$$h(i\sqrt{\alpha}z) = i\sqrt{\alpha}p(z), \quad h(\sqrt{\alpha}z) = \sqrt{\alpha}p(z),$$

and obtain

$$(h(z))^2 = 2 - T(2 - z^2), \quad (h(z))^2 = 2 + T(z^2 - 2),$$

respectively. In both cases the interval $[-2, 2]$ is completely invariant under h , and so the JULIA set of h coincides with $[-2, 2]$ and the JULIA set of p is a straight line segment.

(c) There exist integers k and l such that $\hat{p}^k = \hat{q}^l$. In particular it follows that $(\deg \hat{p})^k = (\deg \hat{q})^l$ and so d divides $\deg q$, i.e., $q(z) = cz^{ds} + \dots$. Now we use a device that can be found in RITT's paper [7]. Let

$$B(z) = z \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{z^m} + \dots \right)$$

be the normalized solution of BÖTTCHER's functional equation

$$B(p(z)) = (B(z))^d;$$

it depends only on the JULIA set \mathcal{J} , since, near infinity, $\log |B(z)|$ represents the Green's function of the outer domain of \mathcal{J} with pole at ∞ . In a neighbourhood of this point we define a function r by the relation

$$B(r(z)) = c(B(z))^s.$$

Then $B(r(p(z))) = c(B(z))^{ds} = B(q(z))$ and hence

$$r \circ p = q$$

follows. Writing $r(z) = r_0(z) + O(1/z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where r_0 , a polynomial, denotes the principal part of r at ∞ , we obtain

$$q(z) - r_0(p(z)) = O(z^{-d}),$$

and hence $r = r_0$ is a polynomial. It is obvious that r maps each of the sets \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{F} – the common FATOU set – onto itself, and hence either r has JULIA set \mathcal{J} or else $r(z) = \delta z$, $\delta^m = 1$, holds. Repetition of this argument, if necessary, leads

to the conclusion that $q = \delta p^n$. Conversely, each polynomial δp^n has JULIA set \mathcal{J} . This proves our theorem.

REMARK: It is clear that, in the non-exceptional case, δp^k and εp^n are permutable if and only if $\delta^{\nu k-1} = \varepsilon^{\mu n-1}$ holds. This was already observed by RITT.

REFERENCES

1. I. N. BAKER, A. EREMENKO, *A problem on Julia sets*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Series A **12** (1987), 229-236.
2. A. F. BEARDON, *Symmetries of Julia sets*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 576-582.
3. A. F. BEARDON, *Polynomials with identical Julia sets*, Complex Variables **17** (1992), 195-200.
4. P. FATOU *Sur l'iteration analytique et les substitutions permutables*, J. Math. **2** (1923), 343-362.
5. J. L. FERNÁNDEZ, *A note on the Julia set of polynomials*, Complex Variables **12** (1989), 83-85.
6. G. JULIA, *Mémoire sur la permutabilité des fractions rationnelles*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **39** (1922), 131-215.
7. J. F. RITT *On the iteration of rational functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1920), 348-356.
8. N. STEINMETZ, *Rational iteration*, de Gruyter 1993.